

Lecture 5: Martingale and Online Learning Introduction

Lecturer: Jacob Abernethy

Scribe: Wenbo Chen

Disclaimer: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications.

5.1 Martingales

In this section we will introduce martingales and prove Azuma's inequality.

Definition 5.1 (Martingale) A sequence of random variable Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n is called a **martingale** sequence if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- $\mathbb{E}[|Z_n|] < \infty$
- $\mathbb{E}[Z_n | Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}] = Z_{n-1}$

Example 1 (Linear Martingales) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sequence of *i.i.d* random variable with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$, for all $i > 0$, then $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a martingale.

Proof:

$$\mathbb{E}(|Z_n|) = \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right|\right) < \infty, \forall n \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_n | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1\right] \quad (5.2)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X_n | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1] + \mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1} | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1] \quad (5.3)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X_n] + Z_{n-1} \quad (5.4)$$

$$= Z_{n-1} \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore, according to **Def. 5.1**, Z_n is a martingale. ■

Example 2 (Quadratic Martingales) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sequence of *i.i.d* random variable with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{var}(X_i) < \infty$. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_n, Z_n = S_n^2 - n\sigma^2$. Then $\{Z_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is a martingale.

Proof:

$$\mathbb{E}[|S_n^2 - n\sigma^2|] \leq \mathbb{E}[S_n^2] + n\sigma^2 \quad (5.6)$$

$$= \text{var}(S_n) + \mathbb{E}^2[S_n] + n\sigma^2 \quad (5.7)$$

$$= n \text{var}(X_1) + (n\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2 + n\sigma^2 \quad (5.8)$$

$$= 2n\sigma^2 < \infty \quad (5.9)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_n | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}[(S_{n-1} + X_n)^2 - n\sigma^2 | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] \quad (5.10)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[S_{n-1}^2 + X_n^2 + 2S_{n-1}X_n - n\sigma^2 | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] \quad (5.11)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[S_{n-1}^2 - (n-1)\sigma^2 | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] \quad (5.12)$$

$$= S_{n-1}^2 - (n-1)\sigma^2 = Z_{n-1} \quad (5.13)$$

Therefore, according to **Def. 5.1**, Z_n is a martingale. ■

Lemma 5.2 (Hoeffding Lemma) Let X be a bound random variable with $X \in [a, b]$ and $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$, then X is sub-Gaussian with variance proxy $\frac{(b-a)^2}{4}$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)] \leq \exp\left(\frac{s^2(b-a)^2}{8}\right)$$

Lemma 5.3 (Tower Rule) Let X be a random variable whose expected value $\mathbb{E}(X)$ is defined, and Y be any random variable on the same probability space, then

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|Y))$$

Theorem 5.4 (Azuma's Inequality) Let Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n be a martingale $|Z_i - Z_{i-1}| \leq c_i, \forall i \geq 1$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n - Z_0 \geq t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)$$

Proof: Let $s > 0$, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n - Z_0 \geq t) = \mathbb{P}(\exp(s(Z_n - Z_0)) \geq \exp(st)) \quad (5.14)$$

$$\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(s(Z_n - Z_0))]}{\exp(st)} \quad (5.15)$$

$$= \exp(-st) \mathbb{E}[\exp(s(Z_n - Z_{n-1})) \exp(s(Z_{n-1} - Z_0))] \quad (5.16)$$

$$= \exp(-st) \mathbb{E}[\underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\exp(s(Z_n - Z_{n-1}))]}_{\text{Hoeffding Lemma}} \underbrace{\exp(s(Z_{n-1} - Z_0))}_{\text{constant given } Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}} | Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}]] \quad (5.17)$$

$$\leq \exp(-st) \exp\left(\frac{s^2 c_n^2}{2}\right) \mathbb{E}[\exp(s(Z_{n-1} - Z_0))] \quad (5.18)$$

$$\leq \exp(-st) \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(\frac{s^2 c_i^2}{2}\right), \quad (5.19)$$

where we compute the upper bound recursively from (5.18) with Hoeffding Lemma and Tower Rule and then get (5.19). Note that we can use Hoeffding Lemma due to $\mathbb{E}[Z_n | Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}] = Z_{n-1} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Z_n - Z_{n-1} | Z_0, \dots, Z_{n-1}] = 0$.

Since the bound holds with all $s > 0$, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n - Z_0 \geq t) \leq \inf_{s>0} \exp(-st) \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(\frac{s^2 c_i^2}{2}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right),$$

where $s = \frac{t}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}$. ■

5.2 Online Learning Introduction

Example (Weather report) At each round $t \in \mathbb{N}^+$

- N weather experts predict weather $\{x_{i,t}\}_{i \in [N]}$, where for all i, t , $x_{i,t} \in \{0, 1\}$ and 0 indicates no rain and 1 indicates rain, $[N] = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$;
- Algorithm predicts $\hat{y}_t \in \{0, 1\}$;
- Nature reveals $y_t \in \{0, 1\}$;
- Assume that there are perfect experts.

Let $\#mistakes$ denotes the number of mistakes the algorithm made before finding the perfect expert, where mistake happens when $\hat{y}_t \neq y_t$. The problem here is, **for a particular algorithm, at most how many mistakes the algorithm needs to make until it finally finds the perfect expert?**

Algorithm 1 Halving Algorithm

```

1:  $\mathcal{C}_1 = [N]$ 
2: for  $t = 1, \dots, T$  do
3:   observe  $x_{i,t} \forall i \in \mathcal{C}_t$ 
4:    $\hat{y}_t = \text{round}(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_t|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_t} x_{i,t})$  ▷ Majority vote
5:    $\mathcal{C}_{t+1} = \mathcal{C}_t \setminus \{i : x_{i,t} \neq y_t\}$  ▷ Bad experts elimination
6: end for

```

Theorem 5.5 *Halving algorithm satisfies $\#mistakes \leq \log_2 N$.*

Proof: $|\mathcal{C}_1| = N$. If $\#mistakes$ increases we have

$$\frac{|\mathcal{C}_{t+1}|}{|\mathcal{C}_t|} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{5.20}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \leq |\mathcal{C}_T| \leq |\mathcal{C}_1| \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\#mistakes} = N \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\#mistakes} \tag{5.21}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \log_2 N - \#mistakes \tag{5.22}$$

$$\Rightarrow \#mistakes \leq \log_2 N \tag{5.23}$$

Therefore, we can see that the number of mistakes is bounded by $\log_2 N$. ■