

## Lecture 4: Concentration Inequalities

Lecturer: Jacob Abernethy

Scribes: Zihao Hu, Nathan Hatch

**Disclaimer:** These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications.

## 4.1 Concentration Inequalities

### 4.1.1 Review from last lecture

**Theorem 4.1** (Markov's Inequality) For a random variable  $X \geq 0$

$$\Pr(X \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{t} \quad (4.1)$$

This is “the most basic deviation bound”.

**Theorem 4.2** (Chebyshev's Inequality) For any random variable with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$

$$\Pr(|X - \mu| > t\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \quad (4.2)$$

This deviation bound is also very general. It works for any random variable with finite mean and variance. It's slightly better than Markov's inequality, but still “not good enough”.

### 4.1.2 Hoeffding's Inequality

Hoeffding's Inequality will give us a deviation bound that decays exponentially. This is much better than  $1/t$  or  $1/t^2$ . It is also non-asymptotic (unlike the central limit theorem), which is nice for engineering purposes when you don't have an infinite amount of data.

Before stating the theorem, we state a lemma which will be used in the proof.

**Lemma 4.3** (Hoeffding's Lemma) Let  $X$  be a random variable such that  $a \leq X \leq b$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2(b-a)^2}{8}\right) \quad (4.3)$$

**Proof:** See Foundations of Machine Learning book, p. 369. ■

**Theorem 4.4** (Hoeffding's Inequality) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent random variables such that  $a_i \leq X_i \leq b_i$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ . Then

$$\Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i)^2}\right) \quad (4.4)$$

**Remark** Note that there is no absolute value in the theorem statement. However, “using symmetry”, it is possible to argue that  $\Pr(|\sum X_i| > t) \leq 2\Pr(\sum X_i > t)$ . Also, if your random variables are bounded but not zero-mean, you can still apply the theorem to the zero-mean variables  $X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ .

**Proof:** (Chernoff Bounding Technique) For all  $\lambda > 0$ , the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t\right) &= Pr\left(\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) > \exp(\lambda t)\right) && \text{monotonicity of } e^{\lambda x} \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)\right] / \exp(\lambda t) && \text{Markov's Inequality} \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda X_i)] && \text{independence of } X_i \\
 &\leq \exp(-\lambda t) \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 (b_i - a_i)^2}{8}\right) && \text{Hoeffding's Lemma} \\
 &= \exp\left(\lambda^2 \frac{\sum (b_i - a_i)^2}{8} - \lambda t\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

The exponent is convex quadratic in  $\lambda$ . Since this is true for all  $\lambda > 0$ , we can choose  $\lambda$  to minimize the quadratic and achieve the best bound. The minimum of  $p\lambda^2 + q\lambda$  is  $-q^2/4p$ , so we have

$$Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-2t^2}{\sum (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)$$

■

**Remark.** Only one step of the proof required that these random variables  $X_i$  were bounded. In fact, there is a more general set called **sub-Gaussian distributions** which satisfy inequalities similar to Hoeffding's Lemma. The proof of Hoeffding's Inequality works just as well for all sub-Gaussian distributions.

The following corollary restates Hoeffding's Inequality in a slightly less general form from the perspective of finding the best  $t$  given a specified maximum probability of failure  $\delta$ .

**Corollary 4.5** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$ ,  $-1 \leq X_i - \mu \leq 1$ . Then for all  $\delta > 0$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$  we have*

$$\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2/\delta)}{n}} \quad (4.5)$$

**Proof:** From Hoeffding's Inequality,

$$Pr\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \mu)\right| > t\right) \leq 2Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) > tn\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-2(tn)^2}{4n}\right) = 2 \exp\left(\frac{-t^2 n}{2}\right) =: \delta$$

Now we just solve for  $t$  to get  $t = \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2/\delta)}{n}}$ . ■

## 4.2 Martingales

Martingales are a "generalization of sums of i.i.d. random variables". We will see that, although martingales are more general than sums of i.i.d. random variables, they obey a very similar concentration inequality.

**Definition 4.6** *A sequence of random variables  $Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  is a **martingale sequence** if  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Z_i | Z_0, \dots, Z_{i-1}] = Z_{i-1}$ .*

**Remark.** Usually  $Z_0$  will be a constant; e.g. your starting account balance.

**Fact.** If  $Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  is a martingale sequence (and  $Z_0$  is constant), then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_n] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z_n | Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1}]] = \mathbb{E}[Z_{n-1}] = \dots = \mathbb{E}[Z_1] = Z_0 \quad (4.6)$$

**Example.** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. fair coin tosses,  $X_i = \pm 1$ . Then the following are martingale sequences:

- $Z_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_j$
- $Z_0 := c, Z_n := Z_{n-1} + \delta Z_{n-1} X_{n-1}$ , where  $c > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  are constants. This example represents a “betting strategy” where at each round  $n$ , you bet a fixed proportion  $\delta$  of your current wealth  $Z_{n-1}$ .

**Theorem 4.7** (*Azuma’s Inequality*) Let  $Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  be a martingale sequence such that  $\forall i, |Z_i - Z_{i-1}| \leq c_i$ . Then

$$\Pr(Z_n - Z_0 > t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-t^2}{2 \sum c_i^2}\right) \quad (4.7)$$

We will prove this next class. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Hoeffding’s Inequality.